

RADIATION FROM VELA-LIKE PULSARS NEAR THE DEATH LINE

Vladimir V. Usov

Dept. of Physics, Weizmann Institute, Rehovot 76100, Israel

ABSTRACT

Radiation of both the outer gaps and the neutron star surface is considered for a Vela-like pulsar near the death line. It is shown that if such a pulsar is close enough to the death line, its optical, UV and X-ray emission has to increase. Using results of this consideration, it is argued that Geminga is not a close relative of Vela-like pulsars. The outer gap model of Geminga in which the main part of the outer gap volume operates as a Vela-like generator of γ -rays is ruled out. A Vela-like mechanism of γ -ray generation can operate only in a small region of the outer gap of Geminga. The length of this region along the magnetic field is an order of magnitude smaller than the outer gap dimensions. In the magnetosphere of Geminga the main mechanism of γ -ray generation at $\sim 10 - 10^4$ MeV is curvature radiation and not the synchrotron radiation as it was assumed for Vela-like pulsars.

1. INTRODUCTION

It has been argued by Holloway (1973), Cheng, Ruderman and Sutherland (1976) and Krause-Polstorff and Michel (1985 a,b) that charge deficient regions ("outer gaps") with a strong electric field $E_{\parallel}$ along the magnetic field may exist near the pulsar light cylinder. The structure of outer gaps and their radiation were considered by Cheng, Ho and Ruderman (1986 a,b, hereafter CHRa,b). The CHR "outer gap" model describes the high frequency (optical, X-ray and γ -ray) radiation of the Crab and Vela pulsars fairly well.

Outer gaps may act as a particle accelerator in the pulsar magnetosphere only if the period of the pulsar rotation P is small enough (CHRb, Chen and Ruderman 1993, hereafter CR). Ruderman and Cheng (1988, hereafter RC) have predicted that when a Vela-like pulsar in the process of deceleration of its rotation approaches to the death line, the pulsar luminosity in γ -rays increases and may be as high as the total spin-down power of the neutron star. Below it is shown that not only the γ -ray emission of a Vela-like pulsar has to increase near the death line but its optical, UV and X-ray emission has to increase as well.

Nonthermal radiation from Vela-like pulsars near the death line is considered in Section 2. For such a pulsar the lower limit on the thermal X-ray emission of the neutron star surface is given in Section 3. Geminga is considered as a candidate for a Vela-like pulsar in Section 4. The discussion and summary of conclusions are presented in Section 5.

2. OPTICAL AND UV EMISSION FROM OUTER GAPS NEAR THE DEATH LINE

In the outer gap model of CHRb the radiation of a Vela-like pulsar is generated by means of the following long sequence of processes: 1. Acceleration of primary particles by strong electric field $E_{\parallel}$; 2. Generation of primary γ -rays through inverse Compton scattering on the tertiary photons; 3. Creation

of secondary electron-positron pairs in collisions of primary γ -rays with the same tertiary photons; 4. Generation of secondary γ -ray and X-ray emission by secondary pairs through synchrotron radiation; 5. Creation of low energy (tertiary) pairs in collisions of the secondary hard γ -rays with the secondary soft X-rays; 6. Generation of the tertiary synchrotron radiation by the tertiary pairs which initiates the entire series of pair production processes in the outer gap.

2.1 Tertiary photons: typical frequency and luminosity

The typical frequency of the tertiary photons is (CHRB; CR)

$$\omega_s \simeq \frac{1}{\omega_B^3} \left(\frac{mc^3 \Omega}{e^2} \right)^2 \simeq 10^{16} \left(\frac{\bar{B}}{10^4 \text{ Gauss}} \right)^{-3} \left(\frac{\Omega}{70 \text{ s}^{-1}} \right)^2 \text{ s}^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

where $\Omega = 2\pi/P$ is an angular velocity of the neutron star rotation, $\omega_B = e\bar{B}/mc$ and $\bar{B}$ is a gap-averaged magnetic field. This equation is valid if $\omega_s \geq \omega_B$.

The value of $\bar{B}$ is somewhere in the interval from $\sim B_{lc}$ to $\sim (c/\Omega r_i)^3 B_{lc}$, here

$$B_{lc} \simeq B_s^d \left(\frac{\Omega R}{c} \right)^3 \simeq 10^4 \left(\frac{B_s^d}{10^{12} \text{ Gauss}} \right) \left(\frac{\Omega}{70 \text{ s}^{-1}} \right)^3 \text{ Gauss} \quad (2)$$

is the characteristic value of the magnetic field at the light cylinder of pulsar, B_s^d is the dipole component of the magnetic field at the neutron star surface, $R \simeq 10^6 \text{ cm}$ is the neutron star radius, and r_i is the distance from the center of pulsar to the inner boundary of the outer gap.

The value of r_i is determined by both the structure of the magnetic field in the pulsar magnetosphere and the angle χ between the stellar magnetic moment and the spin axis. At $R \ll r < c/\Omega$, a pure dipole field is a good approximation for the pulsar magnetosphere. In this approximation the value of r_i is $\frac{2}{3}(c/\Omega)$ at $\chi = 0$. This is its maximum value; r_i drops with increasing χ . For $\chi \simeq \pi/4$ we have $r_i \simeq \frac{1}{2}(c/\Omega)$. When the angle χ goes to $\pi/2$, the following equation for r_i may be used (Halpern and Ruderman 1993, hereafter HR)

$$r_i \simeq \frac{4}{9} (\tan \chi)^{-2} (c/\Omega). \quad (3)$$

I will assume, in this Section, that r_i is not more than a few times smaller than c/Ω , i.e. $\pi/2 - \chi$ is not too small. This is because only for this case the outer gap model was developed in CHRa.

In CHRB it was assumed that $\bar{B} \simeq B_{lc}$. This is a natural assumption because the strength of the magnetic field is $\sim B_{lc}$ in the main part of the outer gap as long as the Vela-like pulsar is not too near the death line. Substituting B_{lc} for $\bar{B}$ into equation (1), we have

$$\omega_s \simeq 10^{16} \left(\frac{B_s^d}{10^{12} \text{ Gauss}} \right)^{-3} \left(\frac{\Omega}{70 \text{ s}^{-1}} \right)^{-7} \text{ s}^{-1}, \quad (4)$$

In the outer gap of a Vela-like pulsar the primary electron-positron pairs are created by the tertiary photons in a Coulomb field of the ultrarelativistic primary particles. The cross section for this process is $\sigma_{\pm} \simeq 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^2$ (Heitler 1954; Cheng and Ruderman 1977). In a steady state, one electron-positron pair is created inside the outer gap per primary particle before it leaves the outer gap, i.e.,

$$\sigma_{\pm} n_s l \simeq 1, \quad (5)$$

where n_s is a gap-averaged tertiary photon density and $l = c/\Omega - r_i \simeq \frac{1}{2}c/\Omega$ is the outer gap length.

The luminosity of a Vela-like pulsar in the tertiary photons is (CHRB)

$$L_s \simeq 2n_s \hbar \omega_s c b l, \quad (6)$$

where b is the outer gap breadth, $b \simeq l$.

From equations (1), (4), (5) and (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_s &\simeq \frac{c^2 \hbar \omega_s}{\Omega \sigma_{\pm}} \simeq 10^{34} \left(\frac{\bar{B}}{10^4 \text{ Gauss}} \right)^{-3} \left(\frac{\Omega}{70 \text{ s}^{-1}} \right) \text{ ergs s}^{-1} \simeq \\ &\simeq 10^{34} \left(\frac{B_s^d}{10^{12} \text{ Gauss}} \right)^{-3} \left(\frac{\Omega}{70 \text{ s}^{-1}} \right)^{-8} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

For the Vela pulsar, $B_s^d \simeq 3.5 \times 10^{12} \text{ Gauss}$ and $\Omega \simeq 70 \text{ s}^{-1}$, from equation (4) a typical frequency of the tertiary radiation is $\omega_s \simeq 2 \times 10^{14} \text{ s}^{-1}$, i.e. at the IR region. The expected IR luminosity of the Vela pulsar in tertiary photons is $\sim 10^{32} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$ (see CHRB and equation (7)). This IR luminosity would not have made Vela an IRAS source.

2.2 Death line, death valley and pulsar luminosity in γ -rays

The whole outer gap can operate as a Vela-like generator of radiation in the pulsar magnetosphere only if the pulsar period is smaller than the following value (RC; Ho 1989; CR)

$$P_v = 0.1 \left(\frac{B_s^d}{10^{12} \text{ Gauss}} \right)^{5/12} \text{ s}. \quad (8)$$

It was predicted (RC) that the γ -ray luminosity of a Vela-like pulsar, L_{γ} , increases sharply when the pulsar period, P , goes to P_v . At $P = P_v$ the L_{γ} value may be as high as

$$L_{\gamma}^{\text{max}} \simeq \zeta \dot{E}_{\text{rot}} \simeq 4 \times 10^{35} \zeta \left(\frac{B_s^d}{10^{12} \text{ Gauss}} \right)^{1/3} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}, \quad (9)$$

where (Ostriker and Gunn 1969)

$$\dot{E}_{\text{rot}} = I \Omega \dot{\Omega} \simeq \frac{2}{3} \frac{(B_s^d)^2 R^6 \Omega^4}{c^3} \quad (10)$$

is the total spin-down power of the neutron star and ζ is a fraction of open magnetic field lines which pass through the outer gap. At $P \simeq P_v$ the value of ζ is ~ 0.5 .

The death line of an outer magnetosphere accelerator is (CR)

$$5 \log \left(\frac{B_s^d}{10^{12} \text{ Gauss}} \right) - 12 \log \left(\frac{P}{1 \text{ s}} \right) = 12 + 15 \log \eta, \quad (11)$$

where $\eta = \Omega r_i / c$.

This line relates to the pulsar period

$$P = P_d = 0.1 \left(\frac{B_s^d}{10^{12} \text{ Gauss}} \right)^{5/12} \eta^{-5/4} \text{ s}. \quad (12)$$

Equations (11) and (12) are valid only if η is not $\ll 1$ as it is assumed above.

If the pulsar period is between P_v and P_d (the death valley) only a part of the outer gap, from its inner boundary, $r = r_i$, to the distance $\sim r_i(P_d/P)^{4/5}$, can operate as a Vela-like outer gap. In this region of the outer gap the main mechanism of energy losses for primary particles is inverse Compton scattering on tertiary photons. Since the electric field component $E_{\parallel}$ is more or less constant along the outer gap (CHRa) the γ -ray luminosity from this part of the outer gap at $P_v < P < P_d$ is

$$L_{\gamma} \simeq \zeta I \Omega \dot{\Omega} \frac{r_i [(P_d/P)^{4/5} - 1]}{c/\Omega - r_i} \simeq \zeta I \Omega \dot{\Omega} \left(\frac{P_d^{4/5} - P^{4/5}}{P_d^{4/5} - P_v^{4/5}} \right), \quad (13)$$

where the dimensionless parameter ζ is

$$\zeta \simeq 1 - (P/P_d)^{4/5}. \quad (14)$$

The total γ -ray luminosity of a Vela-like pulsar inside the death valley may be more than the value given by equations (13) and (14). The point is that in the region from $\sim r_i(P_d/P)^{4/5}$ to the light cylinder the primary particles are accelerated by $E_{\parallel}$ and radiate the curvature γ -rays.

If the pulsar period is

$$P < P_c \simeq 2\pi \left(\frac{e^5 (B_s^d)^3 R^9}{24 m^4 c^{15}} \right)^{1/7} \simeq 0.6 \left(\frac{B_s^d}{10^{12} \text{ Gauss}} \right)^{3/7} \text{ s}, \quad (15)$$

the primary particles radiate a main part of their energy by the curvature γ -rays before reaching the light cylinder. In this case the pulsar luminosity in γ -rays inside the death valley may be as high as

$$L_{\gamma}^{\text{max}} \simeq \zeta I \Omega \dot{\Omega}, \quad (16)$$

where ζ is determined by equation (14).

2.3 Tertiary radiation near the death line

From equation (4) we can see that ω_s increases sharply with decreasing Ω . At the boundary of the death valley, $P \simeq P_v$, from equations (4) and (8) the typical frequency of the tertiary photons is

$$\nu_s = \frac{\omega_s}{2\pi} \simeq 3 \times 10^{15} \left(\frac{B_s^d}{10^{12} \text{ Gauss}} \right)^{-1/12} \text{ Hz} . \quad (17)$$

Hence, in the outer gap model of CHRB it is expected that when a Vela-like pulsar in the process of deceleration of its rotation goes to the death valley the typical frequency of the tertiary photons goes from IR range for the Vela pulsar to the optical range and to the UV range more.

From equations (7) - (9) the expected luminosity of a Vela-like pulsar in the optical and UV ranges at $P \simeq P_v$ is

$$L_{\text{opt+UV}} \simeq 2 \times 10^{34} \left(\frac{B_s^d}{10^{12} \text{ Gauss}} \right)^{1/3} \text{ ergs s}^{-1} \simeq 0.1 L_{\gamma}^{\text{max}} , \quad (18)$$

i.e., near the death valley not only the γ -ray emission of a Vela-like pulsar has to increase as it was predicted by CR but its optical and UV emission has to increase as well.

The tertiary photon spectrum has the following frequency dependence (Bekefi 1966; CHRB)

$$I_{\nu} \propto \begin{cases} (\nu/\nu_s)^{1/3} & \text{at } \nu \ll \nu_s , \\ (\nu/\nu_s)^{1/2} \exp[-(\nu/\nu_s)] & \text{at } \nu \gg \nu_s . \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Therefore, the luminosity of a Vela-like pulsar at $P \simeq P_v$ in the optical range, between 4×10^{14} Hz and 7.5×10^{14} Hz, is

$$L_{\text{opt}} \simeq \left(\frac{7.5 \times 10^{14} \text{ Hz}}{\nu_s} \right)^{4/3} L_{\text{opt+UV}} \simeq 3 \times 10^{33} \left(\frac{B_s^d}{10^{12} \text{ Gauss}} \right)^{4/9} \text{ ergs s}^{-1} . \quad (20)$$

For a Vela-like pulsar inside the death valley the magnetic field at the distance $\sim r_i(P_d/P)^{4/5} \simeq (c/\Omega)(P_v/P)^{4/5}$ from the pulsar may be taken as a gap-averaged magnetic field

$$\bar{B} \simeq B_{lc} \left(\frac{P}{P_v} \right)^{12/5} . \quad (21)$$

In this case from equations (1), (2), (8) and (21) we have

$$\nu_s \simeq 3 \times 10^{15} \left(\frac{\Omega}{70 \text{ s}^{-1}} \right)^{1/5} \text{ Hz} . \quad (22)$$

From this equation we can see that the typical frequency of the tertiary photons does not change inside the death valley essentially and it lies in the optical and UV ranges.

The pulsar luminosity in the tertiary photons inside the death valley may be estimated from equation (6) in which the outer gap length l is $\sim r_i[(P_d/P)^{4/5} - 1]$. Using this, from equations (8), (14) and (16) we can get

$$\frac{L_{\text{opt+UV}}}{L_{\gamma}^{\text{max}}} \simeq \frac{3h\nu_s c^5}{\sigma_{\pm}(B_s^d)^2 R^6 \Omega^5} \left(\frac{P_v}{P}\right)^{4/5} \simeq 0.1 \left(\frac{P}{P_v}\right)^4. \quad (23)$$

A pulsar with so high ratio of $L_{\text{opt+UV}}$ and L_{γ} has to be observed in the optical and UV ranges if it is observed as a γ -ray source.

There is a very essential assumption which is used above, namely: like CHRB we assumed that a gap-averaged magnetic field $\bar{B}$ is approximately equal to the magnetic field at the outer boundary of the outer gap. If $\bar{B}$ is higher than the value we have used, both the typical frequency of the tertiary photons and the pulsar luminosity in these photons decrease.

In fact, in the outer gap model of CHRB there is no prescription for estimating $\bar{B}$ more or less exactly. It is known only that $\bar{B}$ is somewhere between B_{lc} and $(c/\Omega r_i)^3 B_{lc}$. If the angle χ is small, we have $r_i \simeq \frac{2}{3}(c/\Omega)$ and $\bar{B} < (3/2)^3 B_{lc}$. Using this from equations (1), (6) - (9), (17) and (18) we can see that $\nu_s > 3(2/3)^9 \times 10^{15} \text{ Hz} \simeq 10^{14} \text{ Hz}$ and $L_s/L_{\gamma} > 3 \times 10^{-3}$. In this case the ratio of the optical and γ -ray luminosities is

$$\frac{L_{\text{opt}}}{L_{\gamma}} \simeq \frac{L_s}{L_{\gamma}} \left(\frac{4 \times 10^{14} \text{ Hz}}{\nu_s}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left[-\frac{4 \times 10^{14} \text{ Hz}}{\nu_s}\right] > 4 \times 10^{-4}. \quad (24)$$

Optical emission from a γ -ray source at energies $\sim 10 - 10^4 \text{ MeV}$ has to be detected if its flux of energy in the optical range is of the order of or more than $\sim 10^{-6}$ times the flux of energy in γ -rays. Hence, optical emission must be observed from a Vela-like pulsar inside (or near) the death valley if the angle χ is small enough.

If $\chi > \pi/4$, i.e. $r_i < \frac{1}{2}(c/\Omega)$, the low limit on ν_s at $P \simeq P_v$ is equal or smaller than $\sim 3 \times 2^{-9} \times 10^{15} \text{ Hz} \sim 10^{13} \text{ Hz}$ and the flux of optical emission from the pulsar may be very small. But, if a Vela-like pulsar goes to the death line the magnetic field values at both the outer boundary of the outer gap and its inner boundary draw near each other. In this case equation (22) gives a more and more exact estimate of ν_s , $\nu_s \sim 10^{15} \text{ Hz}$. Therefore, the optical emission has to be detected from a Vela-like pulsar if the pulsar is close enough to the death line and its inclination angle χ is not too great. This result is independent of how $\bar{B}$ is determined.

3. NEUTRON STAR HEATING BY REVERSED PARTICLES AND X-RAY EMISSION

A part of energy which is released in the outer gaps of pulsars is transferred to the neutron star surface by the ultrarelativistic primary particles. Let us estimate the energy flux which is deposited onto the neutron star surface by both the reversed ultrarelativistic primary particles and their radiation.

The potential drop ΔV across an outer gap may be as high as (CHR, HR)

$$\Delta V_{\max} = \frac{\Omega^2 B_s^d R^3}{2c^2} \simeq 6.6 \times 10^{14} \left(\frac{B_s^d}{10^{12} \text{ Gauss}} \right) \left(\frac{P}{0.1 \text{ s}} \right)^{-2} \text{ V}. \quad (25)$$

In the case of the Vela pulsar the energy of the reversed primary particles which move from the outer gaps to the pulsar surface is a few orders smaller than $e\Delta V_{\max}$ (CHRB). This is because of very high energy losses of primary particles in the process of their inverse Compton scattering on the tertiary photons. But when a Vela-like pulsar goes to the death valley the energy losses of primary particles because of inverse Compton scattering drop (CHRB; RC). For a Vela-like pulsar near the death line the energy of primary particles at the inner boundary of the outer gap is smaller but not more than a few times than $e\Delta V$.

Curvature radiation is the main mechanism of energy losses for primary particles during their motion from the inner boundary of the outer gap to the neutron star surface. Let us assume that the magnetic field of the neutron star is dipole. As it is noted above this is a good approximation for the magnetic field structure at a distance more than a few R but smaller than the radius of the light cylinder. In this case if a primary particle is starting from the distance r_i to the pulsar surface along the last closed $\mathbf{B}$ field line then its energy at the distance r is (Ochelkov and Usov 1980; Harding 1981)

$$\gamma(r) = \gamma_0 \left[1 + \frac{9}{8} \frac{e^2 \Omega \gamma_0^3}{mc^3} \ln \left(\frac{r_i}{r} \right) \right]^{-1/3}, \quad (26)$$

where γ_0 is the Lorentz factor of primary particle at the distance r_i .

In the case $\gamma^3 \ll \gamma_0^3$ which is relevant to Vela-like pulsars from equation (26) we have

$$\gamma(r) \simeq \left[\frac{9}{8} \frac{e^2 \Omega}{mc^3} \ln \left(\frac{r_i}{r} \right) \right]^{-1/3}, \quad (27)$$

Primary particles begin to irradiate the neutron star surface when $r < r_*$ (see Fig. 1), where

$$r_* \simeq R \left(\frac{4c}{\Omega R} \right)^{1/3}. \quad (28)$$

Curvature photons which are generated by primary particles during their motion to the neutron star surface are in the γ -ray range, $\hbar\omega \simeq (3/2)(\hbar c/R_c)\gamma^3 \sim 10^3 - 10^5$ MeV [here $R_c \simeq (rc/\Omega)^{1/2}$ is the curvature radius of the magnetic field lines]. These photons are absorbed in the magnetic field near the pulsar with electron-positron pair creation. All energy of secondary pairs and main part of energy of their synchrotron radiation is deposited onto the neutron star surface. This energy is reradiated by the neutron star surface into X-rays.

The energy which is reradiated in X-rays per one primary particle is $\sim \gamma(r_*)mc^2$. Since the energy which is radiated in γ -rays by a primary particle can not be more than $e\Delta V_{\max}$ we have the following lower limit on the ratio of the X-ray and γ -ray luminosities of a Vela-like pulsar near (or inside) the death valley:

$$\frac{L_x}{L_\gamma} > \frac{\gamma(r_*)mc^2}{e\Delta V_{\max}} \simeq \left[\frac{9}{8} \frac{e^2 \Omega}{mc^3} \ln \left(\frac{r_i}{r_*} \right) \right]^{-1/3} \frac{mc^2}{e\Delta V_{\max}} \simeq$$

$$\simeq 0.9 \times 10^{-2} \left(\frac{B_s^d}{10^{12} \text{ Gauss}} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{P}{0.1 \text{ s}} \right)^{7/3} \left[\ln \left(\frac{r_i}{r_*} \right) \right]^{-1/3}. \quad (29)$$

A Vela-like pulsar with $B_s^d \simeq 10^{12}$ Gauss and $P > 0.1$ s has $L_x/L_\gamma > 10^{-2}$. Pulsars with so high ratio L_x/L_γ have to be observed as a X-ray source if they are observed as a γ -ray source.

4. GEMINGA AS A CANDIDATE FOR VELA-LIKE PULSARS

For Geminga the neutron star parameters are $P = 0.237$ s and $B_s^d \simeq 1.6 \times 10^{12}$ Gauss (Halpern and Holt 1992; Bertsch et al. 1992). The distance to Geminga is unknown; the upper limit on the distance is ~ 400 pc. The γ -ray luminosity of Geminga may be as high as the total spin-down power, $L_\gamma \sim I\Omega\dot{\Omega}$, and its period is between P_v and P_d . Therefore, Geminga could be a Vela-like pulsar inside the death valley (HR). In this case, from the observed phase separation between two γ -ray pulses it follows that $r_i < 0.05(c/\Omega) \simeq 5 \times 10^7$ cm and $\chi > 70^\circ$ (HR).

From equations (28) and (29) the ratio L_x/L_γ which is expected for Geminga in the outer gap model (CHRB) is more than 4×10^{-2} . This is in contradiction with the available data, according to which Geminga's X-ray luminosity is $\sim (1 - 2) \times 10^{-3} L_\gamma$. Even if we take into account only the heating of the polar caps by the reversed primary particles, from equation (29) with $r_i = R$ we have $L_x/L_\gamma > 2 \times 10^{-2}$ which is an order more than the observed ratio L_x/L_γ for Geminga.

Since $\eta_i < 0.05 \ll 1$ the results of Section 2 can not be applied to Geminga to get any reliable estimate of its optical luminosity. However, these results may be used to get some restrictions on the outer gap parameters.

Taking into account that the optical luminosity of Geminga in the tertiary radiation is not more than $\sim 10^{-6} L_\gamma$ from equations (6), (7), (14), (16) and (19) we have

$$\frac{L_s}{L_\gamma} \left(\frac{4 \times 10^{14} \text{ Hz}}{\nu_s} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left(-\frac{4 \times 10^{14} \text{ Hz}}{\nu_s} \right) < 10^{-6} \quad (30)$$

or

$$\nu_s < 2.7 \times 10^{13} \text{ Hz}. \quad (31)$$

From equations (1) and (31) it is followed that

$$B > 2 \times 10^4 \text{ Gauss}. \quad (32)$$

If the outer gap region with $B < 2 \times 10^4$ Gauss is irradiated by the tertiary photons so that it operates effectively as a Vela-like generator of γ -rays then the optical luminosity from the outer gap has to be more than $\sim 10^{-6} L_\gamma$.

On the other hand, the outer gap region with $B > 6 \times 10^4$ Gauss can not operate as a Vela-like generator of both γ -rays and primary particles. Indeed, in such a strong magnetic field the main mechanism of the energy losses for primary particles is the curvature radiation but not Compton scattering (CHRB). Therefore, at $B > 6 \times 10^4$ Gauss the sequence of processes which was assumed by CHRB for a Vela-like outer gap (see Section 2) breaks down.

The magnetic field varies from $\sim B_{lc} \simeq 0.9 \times 10^3$ Gauss to $\sim (c/\Omega r_i)^3 B_{lc} > 7 \times 10^6$ Gauss along the outer gap of Geminga. Only a small region of the outer gap with B in the range from $\sim 2 \times 10^4$ Gauss to $\sim 6 \times 10^4$ Gauss can operate as a Vela-like generator of γ -rays. The length of this region along $\mathbf{B}$ is

$$\Delta r = r_1 - r_2 \simeq 0.1(c/\Omega) \simeq 0.1l, \quad (33)$$

where

$$r_1 \simeq \frac{c}{\Omega} \left(\frac{B_{lc}}{2 \times 10^4 \text{ Gauss}} \right)^{1/3} \quad \text{and} \quad r_2 \simeq \frac{c}{\Omega} \left(\frac{B_{lc}}{6 \times 10^4 \text{ Gauss}} \right)^{1/3} \quad (34)$$

are the distance from the center of the neutron star to the upper and inner boundaries of the Vela-like region, respectively.

Since $\Delta r \ll l$ the potential drop $\Delta V_{\Delta r}$ on the Vela-like region of the outer gap is small, $\Delta V_{\Delta r} \ll \Delta V_{\max}$. The value of $\Delta V_{\Delta r}$ may be estimated roughly as

$$\Delta V_{\Delta r} \simeq \frac{\Delta r}{l} \Delta V_{\max} \simeq 0.1 \Delta V_{\max}. \quad (35)$$

For Geminga, $\Delta V_{\max} \simeq 2 \times 10^{14}$ V, the primary particles may be accelerated in the Vela-like region of the outer gap up to the energy $\sim 2 \times 10^{13}$ eV. This energy is enough for pair production in the process of primary particle interaction with the tertiary photons of which the energy is < 0.1 eV. Therefore, this region, in principle, can operate as a Vela-like generator of γ -rays and particles. But, the location of this region between $r_2 \simeq 0.25(c/\Omega)$ and $r_1 \simeq 0.35(c/\Omega)$ is in contradiction with the result of HR that for Geminga the region of γ -ray generation is at the distance $r_e < 0.05(c/\Omega)$.

The period of Geminga is smaller than P_c (see equation (15)). Therefore, the motion of primary particles between the upper boundary of the Vela-like region, $r = r_1$, and the light cylinder, $r = c/\Omega$, is quasi-stationary, i.e. the energy losses of particles $(2/3)(e^2 c \gamma^4 / R_c^2)$ because of the curvature radiation are equal to the energy $e E_{\parallel} c$ which particles get from the electric field per unit time. In this case the Lorentz factor of particles is

$$\gamma \simeq \left(\frac{3}{2} \frac{E_{\parallel} R_c^2}{e} \right)^{1/4}. \quad (36)$$

The mean energy of the curvature photons which are generated by these particles is

$$\bar{\epsilon}_{\gamma} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\hbar c}{R_c} \gamma^3 \simeq \frac{3}{2} \hbar c \left(\frac{3}{2} \frac{E_{\parallel}}{e} \right)^{3/4} R_c^{1/2}. \quad (37)$$

For Geminga, $E_{\parallel} \simeq \Delta V_{\max}/l \simeq 6 \times 10^2$ e.s.u. and $R_c \simeq 10^9$ cm, from equation (37) we have $\bar{\epsilon}_{\gamma} \simeq 10^3$ MeV. This value of $\bar{\epsilon}_{\gamma}$ is suitable to explain the γ -rays from Geminga.

The γ -ray luminosity of Geminga at $\sim 10 - 10^4$ MeV is

$$L_{\gamma}(10 - 10^4 \text{ MeV}) \simeq \frac{(c/\Omega) - r_2}{\Delta l} L_1 \simeq 7.5 L_1, \quad (38)$$

where L_1 is the power which is released by the primary particles in the Vela-like region of the outer gap.

Since the γ -ray luminosity of the Vela-like region can not be more than L_1 , the main mechanism of γ -ray generation for Geminga is curvature radiation. This differs essentially from Vela-like pulsars for which the synchrotron radiation was proposed in CHRb as a mechanism of γ -ray generation at $\sim 10 - 10^4$ MeV. Hence, Geminga is not a close relative of Vela-like pulsars.

A very strong radiation in TeV γ -rays is expected from Geminga in the outer gap model of CHRb. The point is that in the model of a Vela-like pulsar the energy of primary particles before being transferred into γ -rays with energies $\epsilon_\gamma \sim 10 - 10^4$ MeV is in very high energy γ -rays, $\epsilon_\gamma \sim 10^{12} - 10^{13}$ eV (see CHRb and Section 2). Near the death line the mean free path of very high-energy γ -rays for pair production in collisions with the tertiary photons is more or less equal to the outer gap dimensions. Therefore, the absorption of very high energy γ -rays is not too strong and an essential part of these γ -rays has to escape from the outer gap. The γ -ray luminosity at TeV energies for a Vela-like pulsar near the death line is (Cheng and de Jager 1990)

$$L_\gamma(\text{TeV}) \simeq \frac{1}{2} L_1, \quad (39)$$

In the case of modified outer gap model in which the Vela-like region is a source of primary particles and the main part of γ -rays is the curvature radiation, from equations (38) and (39) we have

$$\frac{L_\gamma(\text{TeV})}{L_\gamma(10 - 10^4 \text{ MeV})} \simeq \frac{\Delta l}{2[(c/\Omega) - r_2]}. \quad (40)$$

For Geminga the expected ratio $L_\gamma(\text{TeV})/L_\gamma(10 - 10^4 \text{ MeV})$ is ~ 0.07 , which might be uncertain within a factor of 2 or so. The upper limit on the γ -ray flux from Geminga at TeV energies, $\epsilon_\gamma \sim 10^{12} - 10^{13}$ eV, is $\sim 10^{-10} \text{ ergs s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Weekes 1988; Akerlof et al. 1993 and references therein). Since the observed γ -ray flux at $\sim 10 - 10^4$ MeV is at least $\sim 2.4 \times 10^{-9} \text{ ergs s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Fichtel et al. 1975; Halpern and Holt 1992), the upper limit on the ratio $L_\gamma(\text{TeV})/L_\gamma(10 - 10^4 \text{ MeV})$ is ~ 0.04 . We can see that a contradiction between the γ -ray luminosity at TeV energies and the available data on the TeV γ -rays begins to show for Geminga even in the modified outer gap of Geminga.

As to the case when the whole of the outer gap operates as a Vela-like generator of γ -rays, $L_1 \simeq I\Omega\dot{\Omega}$, it is expected that $L_\gamma(\text{TeV}) \simeq L_\gamma(10 - 10^4 \text{ MeV})$ (RC; Cheng and de Jager 1990). This kind of an outer gap model is ruled out for Geminga.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

I have considered in this paper the radiation of Vela-like pulsars near the death line. It is shown that X-ray emission with $L_x/L_\gamma > 10^{-2}$ is expected from such a pulsar. Besides, the optical emission of a Vela-like pulsar has to increase sharply if the pulsar is close enough to the death line and its inclination angle is not too great. The optical luminosity L_{opt} may be up to $\sim 10^{-2} L_\gamma$.

If $L_{\text{opt}} \ll 10^{-2} L_{\gamma}$, a distinguishing feature of the tertiary optical emission is a very steep spectrum.

Using these results, Geminga is considered as a candidate for a Vela-like pulsar. It is shown that the outer gap model of CHRB is at odds with the available data on Geminga. The outer-gap model of Geminga in which the main part of the outer gap volume operates as a Vela-like generator of γ -rays is ruled out both by the upper limit on the flux of the tertiary photons in optical range, and also by the upper limit on the γ -ray flux from Geminga at TeV energies. A Vela-like mechanism of γ -ray generation can operate only in a compact region for which the length along the magnetic field is essentially smaller than the outer gap length. In principle, this compact Vela-like region of the outer gap can be a source of primary particles. The main mechanism of γ -ray generation in the Geminga magnetosphere is the curvature radiation of primary particles but not the synchrotron radiation as it was assumed in CHRB for a Vela-like outer gap. Summarizing, we can conclude that Geminga is not a close relative of Vela-like pulsars. This does not mean that a general idea of HR on an outer gap model is ruled out for Geminga. It means only that if an outer gap model is developed for Geminga, it has to differ qualitatively from the outer gap model of CHRB for Vela-like pulsars.

Harding, Ozernoy and Usov (1993) have considered the polar-gap model for Geminga which is an alternative to an outer-gap model. They have suggested that Geminga is more or less a typical pulsar, as has been proposed by Ozernoy and Usov (1977) for unidentified high-energy γ -ray sources. In this model the γ -ray emission of Geminga is the curvature radiation of the primary particles which are accelerated near the pulsar surface in the polar gaps. The polar gap model can describe the X-ray and γ -ray radiation of Geminga if the distance to Geminga is not more than $\sim 30 - 40$ pc.

A strong IR emission was predicted from the Vela pulsar (see CHRB and above). The observation of this emission would be a direct confirmation of the outer gap model of CHRB. The other way to verify the outer gap model of CHRB for a Vela-like pulsar is the observation of the optical tail of the tertiary radiation with a very steep spectrum. In connection with this, it is worth noting that the γ -ray pulsar PSR 1706-44 is very promising for such a kind of observations. Indeed, for PSR 1706-44 the neutron star parameters are $P = 0.102$ s and $B_s \simeq 3 \times 10^{12}$ Gauss (Thompson et al. 1992), and the typical frequency of the tertiary photons for this pulsar is ~ 4 times more than for the Vela pulsar (see equation (4)). This is very essential for observation of the optical tail because of the exponential dependence of the tertiary photon spectrum at high frequencies, $\nu \gg \nu_s$ (see equation (19)).

FIGURE CAPTION

Figure 1. Irradiation of the neutron star surface by the curvature γ -ray emission of the reversed primary particles. At $r \leq r_*$ practically all radiation of the particles is deposited onto the neutron star surface. μ is the stellar magnetic moment. B denotes the last closed **B** field line. R is the radius of the neutron star.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure for me to thank M. Milgrom for many valuable discussions.

REFERENCES

- Akerlof, C.W., et al. 1993, *Astron. Ap.*, **274**, L17
 Bekefi, G. 1966, *Radiation Processes in Plasmas* (NY: J. Wiley and Sons)
 Bertsch, D.L., et al. 1992, *Nature*, **357**, 306
 Chen, K., and Ruderman, M.A. 1993, *ApJ*, **402**, 264 (CR)
 Cheng, A., and Ruderman, M.A. 1977, *ApJ*, **214**, 598
 Cheng, A., Ruderman, M.A., and Sutherland, P.G. 1976, *ApJ*, **203**, 209
 Cheng, K.S., and de Jager, O.C. 1990, *Nuclear Phys.*, **14A**, 28
 Cheng, K.S., Ho, C., and Ruderman, M.A. 1986a, *ApJ*, **300**, 500 (CHRa)
 Cheng, K.S., Ho, C., and Ruderman, M.A. 1986b, *ApJ*, **300**, 522 (CHRb)
 Fichtel, C.E. et al. 1975, *ApJ*, **196**, 163
 Halpern, J.P., and Holt, S.S. 1992, *Nature*, **357**, 222
 Halpern, J.P., and Ruderman, M.A. 1993, *ApJ* (in press) (HR)
 Harding, A.K. 1981, *ApJ*, **245**, 267
 Harding, A.K., Ozernoy, L.M., and Usov, V.V. 1993, *MNRAS* (in press)
 Heitler, W. 1954, *Quantum Theory of Radiation* (Oxford Univ. Press)
 Ho, C. 1989, *ApJ*, **342**, 396
 Holloway, N.J. 1973, *Nature Phys. Sci.*, **246**, 6
 Krause-Polstorff, J., and Michel, F.C. 1985a, *Astron. Ap.*, **144**, 72
 Krause-Polstorff, J., and Michel, F.C. 1985b, *MNRAS*, **213**, 43
 Ochelkov, Yu.P., and Usov, V.V. 1980, *Ap. Sp. Sci.*, **69**, 439
 Ostriker, J.P., and Gunn, J.E. 1969, *ApJ*, **157**, 1395
 Ozernoy, L.M., and Usov, V.V. 1977, *SvA*, **21**, 425
 Ruderman, M.A., and Cheng, K.S. 1988, *ApJ*, **335**, 306 (RC)
 Thompson, D.J. et al. 1992, *Nature*, **359**, 615
 Weekes, T.C. 1988, *Physics Reports*, **160**, 1

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9312048v1>